

UNCLASSIFIED

Current Class: [REDACTED]  
Current Handling: n/a  
Document Number: 1978BUENOS06074

Page: 1  
Channel: n/a

5/4/78

<<<<.>>>>

PAGE 01 BUENOS 06074 01 OF 02 042233Z  
ACTION HA-05

m 080

INFO OCT-01 ARA-10 ISO-00 L-03 NSC-05 PM-05 SS-15  
SP-02 CIAE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 H-01 /057 W  
-----104451 042303Z /75

R 042048Z AUG 78  
FM AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6857  
INFO USCINCSO QUARRY HTS CZ  
AMEMBASSY MONTEVIDEO

[REDACTED] SECTION 1 OF 2 BUENOS AIRES 6074

E.O. 11652: N/A  
TAGS: SHUM, AR, PINS  
SUBJECT: GOA FACES DECISION IN TIMERMAN CASE

REF: BUENOS AIRES 5646

SUMMARY: SINCE THE RECENT SUPREME COURT DECISION DIRECTING THE RELEASE FROM EXECUTIVE (PEN) DETENTION OF FORMER LA OPINION PUBLISHER JACOBO TIMERMAN EXPLICITLY AVOIDED THE ISSUE OF THE GOVERNMENT'S AUTHORITY TO CONTINUE HIS DETENTION UNDER THE TERMS OF THE AVHHINSTITUTIONAL OF JUNE 18, 1976. TIMMERMAN'S LAWYERS PLAN TO PLACE THIS ISSUE INTO THE COURTS NEXT WEEK (AUGUST 7). TIMERMAN'S LEGAL CASE APPEARS FAIRLY STRONG. TO DATE, THE GOVERNMENT HAS NOT RESPONDED TO THE JULY 20TH SUPREME COURT ORDER. IT IS FACED WITH A NUMBER OF SOLUTIONS WHICH WILL EITHER BE UNPOPULAR WITHIN THE ARMED FORCES OR TO WORLD OPINION. THESE RANGE FROM RELEASING TIMERMAN IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S ORDER TO ISSUING A NEW PEN DECREE CHARGING HIM ANEW WITH LINKS TO SUBVERSION. THE FAMILY'S GUESS IS THAT THE GOA WILL ALLOW TIMERMAN TO CONTINUE TO LITIGATE HIS CASE AND POSTPONE THE DECISION. END SUMMARY.

PAGE 02 BUENOS 06074 01 OF 02 042233Z

1. SUPREME COURT'S DECISION -----

THE SUPREME COURT'S RECENT DECISION EXPLICITLY SKIRTED THE

Current Class: [REDACTED]

Page: 1

UNCLASSIFIED

ARGENTINA PROJECT (S200000044)  
U.S. DEPT. OF STATE, A/RPS/IPS  
Margaret P. Grafeld, Director  
Exemption(s):  
(X) Release ( ) Excise ( ) Deny  
Declassify: ( ) In Part (X) In Full  
( ) Classify as ( ) Extend as ( ) Downgrade to  
Date Declassify on Reason  
DECLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Current Class: [REDACTED]  
Current Handling: n/a  
Document Number: 1978BUENOS06074

Page: 2  
Channel: n/a

ISSUE REGARDING TIMERMAN'S DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY UNDER THE ACTA INSTITUTIONAL. THE COURT FOCUSED ONLY ON THE REASONABLENESS OF TIMERMAN'S CONTINUED DETENTION UNDER THE PODER EJECUTIVO NACIONAL (PEN) DECREE UNDER WHICH HE WAS LEGALLY DETAINED IN APRIL 1977. THE COURT POINTED OUT IN ITS OPINION THAT THE EXPLANATION OFFERED BY THE MINISTRY OF INTERIOR FOR THE PEN DECREE AGAINST TIMERMAN REVOLVED AROUND THE INVESTIGATION UNDERTAKEN BY THE SPECIAL WAR COUNCIL OF HIS CONNECTIONS WITH THE GRAIVER-MONTONERO DEALINGS. SUBSEQUENTLY, THE SPECIAL WAR COUNCIL PUBLICLY ANNOUNCED THAT AFTER A THOROUGH INVESTIGATION IT HAD FOUND THAT MR. TIMERMAN (AND SOME 25 OTHERS) HAD COMMITTED NO INDICTABLE OFFENSE. THE COURT STRESSED THAT IN ALL THE OTHER CASES WHICH HAD COME BEFORE IT ALLEGING ABUSES IN THE GOVERNMENT'S USE OF ITS EXECUTIVE DETENTION POWERS, THE GOVERNMENT HAD INFORMED THE COURT THAT IT CONSIDERED THAT THE PEN DETAINEE IN QUESTION WAS LINKED WITH SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES. HOWEVER, IN THE TIMERMAN CASE A SPECIAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL HAD PUBLICLY STATED THAT MR. TIMERMAN WAS NOT CONNECTED WITH ANY SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES. THEREFORE, IT DID NOT APPEAR TO A MAJORITY OF THE COURT THAT THERE WAS A REASONABLE BASIS UNDER THE SPECIAL CONSTITUTIONAL STATE OF SIEGE PROVISIONS FOR THE CONTINUED DETENTION OF TIMERMAN. THE PMURT THUS GRANTED THE HABEUS CORPUS PETITION WITH RESPECT TO THE DEPRIVATION OF MR. TIMERMAN'S LIBERTY BASED ON THE PEN DECREE ISSUED BY THE PRESIDENT ON APRIL 21, 1977.

2. THE ACTA INSTITUTIONAL OF JUNE 18, 1976 -----

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

PAGE 03 BUENOS 06074 01 OF 02 042233Z

IN THE ACTA INSTITUTIONAL, WHICH IN DE FACTO REVOLUTIONARY JURISPRUDENCE HAS THE EQUIVALENCE OF A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT, THE MILITARY JUNTA ASSUMED SPECIAL POWERS AND RESPONSIBILITIES TO JUDGE THE CONDUCT OF PERSONS WHO HAVE CAUSED DAMAGE TO THE HIGHER INTERESTS OF THE NATION. UNDER THE TERMS OF THE ACTA, ONCE THE JUNTA HAS DECIDED THAT SUCH VIOLATIONS HAVE BEEN COMMITTED, THE JUNTA HAS THE RIGHT TO ISSUE RESOLUTIONS PLACING THE PERSONS RESPONSIBLE UNDER THE ACTA AND APPLYING ANY OR ALL THE FOLLOWING MEASURES:

- A) DEPRIVATION OF POLITICAL AND LABOR RIGHTS;
- B) REVOCATION OF THE CITIZENSHIP OF NATIONALIZED

Current Class: [REDACTED]

Page: 2

UNCLASSIFIED

Current Class: [REDACTED]  
Current Handling: n/a  
Document Number: 1978BUENOS06074

UNCLASSIFIED

Page: 3  
Channel: n/a

ARGENTINES;

C) EXPULSION OF FOREIGNERS OR NATURALIZED ARGENTINES FROM THE COUNTRY;

D) PROHIBITION OF PERSONS FROM EXERCISING ANY PUBLIC DUTY, EMPLOYMENT OR COMMISSION

E) CONFINEMENT OF PERSONS IN A PLACE TO BE DETERMINED BY THE NATIONAL EXECUTIVE POWER (BEGIN UNDERLINING) WHILE THESE PERSONS ARE AT ITS DISPOSITION (END UNDERLINING) AND PROHIBITING THE ADMINISTRATION OR DISPOSAL OF A PERSONS ASSETS UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE LEGITIMACY OF THE ACQUISITION OF THESE ASSETS HAS BEEN JUSTIFIED AND PROHIBITING A PERSON FROM PRACTICING THEIR LEGALLY LICENSED PROFESSION.

PURSUANT TO JUNTA RESOLUTION NO. 6 OF OCTOBER 11, 1977, SUBSECTIONS (A), (D) AND (E) WERE APPLIED TO JACOBO TIMERMAN. AT THAT TIME, JACOBO TIMERMAN WAS UNDER EXECUTIVE DETENTION PURSUANT TO THE APRIL 1977 DECREE.

[REDACTED]  
NNN  
[REDACTED]

PAGE 01 BUENOS 06074 02 OF 02 042253Z  
ACTION HA-05

INFO OCT-01 ARA-10 ISO-00 L-03 NSC-05 PM-05 SS-15  
SP-02 INT-05 H-01 /052 W  
-----104910 042303Z /75

R 042048Z AUG 78  
FM AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6858  
INFO USCINCSO QUARRY HTS CZ  
AMEMBASSY MONTEVIDEO

[REDACTED] SECTION 2 OF 2 BUENOS AIRES 6074

3. TIMERMAN'S ARGUMENT -----

TIMERMAN'S LAWYER HAS INFORMED THE EMBASSY THAT HE PLANS TO ARGUE THAT SECTION E OF THE ACTA INSTITUTIONAL IS NOT AN INDEPENDENT SOURCE OF DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY UNDER ARGENTINE LAW FOR TWO REASONS. FIRST, THE EXPRESS LANGUAGE OF SECTION E (SEE UNDERLINING) GRANTS THE GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY TO INTERN A PERSON AT A

Current Class: [REDACTED]

Page: 3

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Current Class: [REDACTED]  
Current Handling: n/a  
Document Number: 1978BUENOS06074

Page: 4  
Channel: n/a

PLACE OF THE GOVERNMENT'S CHOOSING WHILE (REPEAT WHILE)  
SUCH PERSON IS AT THE DISPOSITION OF THE PEN.  
SECONDLY, GRANTING THE GOVERNMENT EXCEPTIONAL DETENTION  
POWERS IS A SERIOUS ABRIDGEMENT OF RIGHTS AND MUST  
STEM FROM A CLEAR CONSTITUTIONAL POWER. HE WILL THEN CONCLUDCD  
THAT, AS THE SUPREME COURT HAS FOUND THAT THERE IS NO REASONABLE  
BASIS FOR THE CONTINUATION OF THE PEN DECREE AGAINST TIMERMAN  
THERE IS NO BASIS FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO CONTINUE TO DEPRIVE  
TIMERMAN OF HIS LIBERTY UNDER SECTION E OF THE ACMN  
INSTITUTIONAL.

TIMERMAN'S LAWYER PLANS TO STRESS THAT THE GOVERNMENT'S RIGHT  
TO DEPRIVE PERSONS OF LIBERTY IS AN EXCEPTIONAL POWER DERIVED  
ONLY FROM ITS STATE OF SIEGE POWERS UNDER ARTICLE 23 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION. THE GOVERNMENT HAS NO INDEPENDENT CONSTITUTIONAL  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

PAGE 02 BUENOS 06074 02 OF 02 042253Z

BASIS FOR DEPRIVING INDIVIDUALS OF THEIR LIBERTY BY ITS OWN  
EXECUTIVE ORDER EXCEPT FOR THE AUTHORITY STEMMING FROM ITS  
STATE OF SIEGE POWERS WHICH THE GOVERNMENT  
EXERCISES ONLY THROUGH PEN DECREES. IN SHORT,  
TIMERMAN'S LAWYER ARGUES IF THE GOVERNMENT HAS  
NO RIGHT TO HOLD A PERSON UNDER THE PEN, IT HAS NO RIGHT TO  
HOLD A PERSON UNDER THE ACTA.

#### 4. COUNCIL ON PATRIMONIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CONAREPA)

##### PROCEEDINGS -----

THERE HAVE BEEN NO NEW DEVELOPMENTS REGARDING CONAREPA'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW OF TIMERMAN'S ASSETS. AS PREVIOUSLY  
REPORTED, CONAREPA HAS REQUESTED ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL  
RECORDS AND INFORMATION ON THE FIRMS IN WHICH TIMERMAN  
HAD MAJOR FINANCIAL INTERESTS. COMPLETE FINANCIAL INFORMATION  
HAS BEEN SUPPLIED ON THE LA OPINION NEWSPAPER AND ITS PRINTING  
PLANT. BUT CONAREPA HAS REFUSED TO ACCEPT FINANCIAL  
INFORMATION ON THE TIMERMAN BOOK PRINTING OPERATION AS IT  
HAS NOT FORMALLY REQUESTED THE DATA.

DURING RECENT LUNCH MEETING WITH DATT JUST AFTER SUPREME  
COURT'S DECISION, SEVERAL CONAREPA BOARD AND SENIOR MEMBERS  
INDICATED THAT THEY STILL HAD MUCH MORE WORK TO REGARDING THE  
TIMERMAN MATTER.

TIMERMAN'S FAMILY IS CONFIDENT ATHT THERE ARE NO IRREGULARITIES

Current Class: [REDACTED]

Page: 4

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Current Class: [REDACTED]  
Current Handling: n/a  
Document Number: 1978BUENOS06074

Page: 5  
Channel: n/a

TO BE UNCOVERED AND CONTINUES TO PUSH FOR TIMERMAN'S RELEASE FROM DETENTION WHILE THE CONAREPA'S PROCEEDINGS CONTINUE. THIS IS BEING DONE IN SEVERAL OTHER CASES AS PRESENT.

5. GOA REACTION -----  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

PAGE 03 BUENOS 06074 02 OF 02 042253Z

JACOBO TIMERMAN TOLD THE EMBASSY IN A TELEPHONE CONVERSATION ON AUGUST 2ND THAT HE HAS RECEIVED NO WORD OF ANY TOVERNMENT REACTION TO THE SUPREME COURT DECISION (THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME WE HAVE EVEN GOTTEN THROUGH-TO TIMERMAN ON THE PHONE). PRIOR TO THE JULY 31ST LAMBRUGPLTEI BOMBING, DEPUTY NAVY SECRETARY GENERAL LUCHETTA TOLD POLOFF THAT ADMIRAL MASSERA PLANNED TO RAISE TIMERMAN'S CASE AT THE REGULAR JUNTA MEETING ON AUGUST 3. CLEARLY, THE GOVERNMENT HAS A HARD DECISION TO MAKE. TIMERMAN'S LEGAL CASE FOR HIS RELEASE AFTER THE SUPREME COURT'S DECISION IS EVEN STRONGER, ALTHOUGH ALL OBSERVERS AGREE THAT ANY DECISION REGARDING HIS RELEASE OR CONTINUED DETENTION WILL BE STRICTLY POLITICAL. WHETHER THE LAMBRUSCHINI BOMBING WILL HAVE AN EFFECT IS NOT CLEAR, BUT IT COULD MAKE A DECISION FAVORABLE TO TIMERMAN MORE DIFFICULT AT LEAST IN THE NEAR TERM.

6. PUBLIC REACTION -----

THE GENRAL PUBLIC REACTION TO THE SUPREME COURT'S DECISION HAS BEEN POSITIVE. THE OPTIMISTIC OBSERVERS BELIEVE THAT THE TIMERMAN DECISION SIGNALS A NEW ELEMENT OF STRENGTH IN THE SUPREME COURT. MORE REALISTIC OBSERVERS WHILE SEEING THE DECISION AS A DEFINITE PLUS, POINT OUT THAT THE TIMERMAN CASE WAS UNIQUE AS TIMERMAN IS THE ONLY DETAINED PEN PRISONER IN THE COUNTRY WHO HAS RECEIVED A CLEAN BILL OF HEALTH FROM A MILITARY TRIBUNAL. THE LATTER VIEW WAS STRENGTHENED ON AUGUST FIRST WHEN THE SUPREME COURT ANNOUNCED ITS SECOND REJECTION OF THE PERMANENT ASSEMBLY'S DIRECT APPEAL FOR THE JUDICIARY TO INTERVENE IN ESTABLISHING THE WHEREABOUTS OF OVER 100 PERSONS ILLEGALLY DETAINED (I.E. DISAPPEARED) PRESUMABLY BY THE EXECUTIVE.

7. EMBASSY COMMENT -----

WE DOUBT THAT THE TIMERMAN'S DECISION WILL BE OF VALUE LEGALLY TO THE OTHER PEN DETAINEES. NOR ARE WE OPTIMISTIC THAT THE GOA WILL COMPLY WITH THE COURT DIRECTIVE TO REMOVE TIMERMAN FROM  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Current Class: [REDACTED]

Page: 5

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

Current Class: [REDACTED]  
Current Handling: n/a  
Document Number: 1978BUENOS06074

Page: 6

Channel: n/a

PAGE 04 BUENOS 06074 02 OF 02 042253Z

PEN/ACTA DETENTION. THE MOST LIKELY SCENARIO IS FOR EXTENDED LITIGATION WHILE THE NEW VIDELA ADMINISTRATION WORKS ITS WAY TOWARDS MAKING THE TOUGH POLITICAL DECISION TO ALLOW TIMERMAN TO LEAVE ARGENTINA. AT A CONVENIENT TIME, WE SHALL TRY TO GET A READING FROM HARGUINDEGUY.

8. ACTION REQUEST -- APPRECIATE IF DEPARTMENT WOULD BRIEF CONGRESSMEN CONTE AND GILMAN, RABBI ROSENTHAL OF ADL, STAFF MEMBERS IN THE OFFICES OF SENATORS HAYAKAWA AND CRANSTON REGARDING STATE OF PLAY OF TIMERMAN CASE.  
CASTRO

[REDACTED]  
NNN

Current Class: [REDACTED]

Page: 6

UNCLASSIFIED